arXiv:0903.0221v1 [math.AP] 2 Mar 2009

REGULARITY OF A DEGENERATE PARABOLIC EQUATION APPEARING IN VEČEŘ'S UNIFIED PRICING OF ASIAN OPTIONS

HONGJIE DONG AND SEICK KIM

Abstract. Večeř derived a degenerate parabolic equation with a boundary condition characterizing the price of Asian options for both discrete and continuous arithmetic average. It is well understood that there exists a unique probabilistic solution to such a problem. However, due to degeneracy of the partial differential operator and lack of smoothness in the boundary data, the regularity of the probabilistic solution remained unclear in the case of discretely sampled Asian options. We prove the probabilistic solutions of Večeř's equation associated with discretely sampled Asian options are regular solutions.

1. Introduction and Main result

An Asian option is a specialized form of an option where the payoff is not determined by the underlying price at maturity, but is connected to the average value of the underlying security over certain time interval. In an interesting article [5], Večeř presented a unifying PDE approach for pricing Asian options that works for both discrete and continuous arithmetic average. By using a dimension reduction technique, he derived a simple degenerate parabolic equation in two variables $(t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}$

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}\left(x - e^{-\int_0^t d\nu(s)} q(t)\right)^2 \sigma^2 u_{xx} = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

supplemented by a terminal condition

$$u(T, x) = (x - K)_+ := \max(x - K, 0), \tag{1.2}$$

which gives the price of the Asian option. Here, $\nu(t)$ is the measure representing the dividend yield, σ is the volatility of the underlying asset, $q(t)$ is the trading strategy given by

$$q(t) = \exp\left\{-\int_t^T d\nu(s)\right\} \cdot \int_t^T \exp\left\{-r(T - s) + \int_s^T d\nu(\tau)\right\} d\mu(s), \tag{1.3}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B65, 35K20, 91B28.

Key words and phrases. asian options; degenerate parabolic equation; regularity of solutions.

where r is the interest rate and $\mu(t)$ represents a general weighting factor. We ask readers to refer to [5] for the derivation of the equation (1.1). It should be noted that

$$\begin{aligned} b(t) &:= e^{-\int_0^t d\nu(s)} q(t) \\ &= \exp\left\{-\int_0^T d\nu(s)\right\} \cdot \int_t^T \exp\left\{-r(T-s) + \int_s^T d\nu(\tau)\right\} d\mu(s) \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

is a nonnegative, monotone decreasing function defined on $[0, T]$.

It is mathematically natural to investigate existence, uniqueness, and regularity of a solution to the degenerate parabolic problem (1.1), (1.2). The existence and uniqueness question can be easily answered by using a probabilistic argument. Indeed, the problem (1.1), (1.2) admits a unique probabilistic solution

$$u(t, x) := \mathbb{E} f(X_T(t, x)), \tag{1.5}$$

where $f(y) := (y - K)_+$ and $X_s = X_s(t, x)$ is the stochastic process that satisfies, for $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the following SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dX_s = (X_s - b_s)\sigma\, dw_s, \quad s \geq t, \quad (\,b_s = b(s)\,) \\ X_t = x. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

On the other hand, regularity of the probabilistic solution u defined in (1.5) is a much more subtle issue. There is a classical result, originally due to Freidlin, saying that if f in (1.5) is twice continuously differentiable and satisfies a certain growth condition, then $u(t, x)$ defined by (1.5) is meaningful and twice differentiable with respect to x continuously in (t, x), etc.; see e.g. [2, Theorem V.7.4]. However, in our case, f is only Lipschitz continuous and thus the above mentioned result is not applicable. As a matter of fact, it is not trivial whether or not the problem (1.1), (1.2) admits any classical or strong solution. This regularity question was studied by one of the authors in a very recent paper [1]. It is shown in [1] that if $K = 0$ (in this case we have the fixed strike Asian call option) and if $b(t)$ is a monotone decreasing Lipschitz continuous function, then the probabilistic solution u defined in (1.5) is indeed a classical solution. We note that $b(t)$ satisfies such an assumption if $d\mu(t) = \rho(t)\, dt$ for some $\rho \in L^\infty([0, T])$ satisfying $\rho(t) \geq \rho_0 > 0$; i.e. the measure $\mu(t)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure dt and its density function $\rho(t)$ is strictly positive and bounded. This specifically excludes the case when $\mu(t)$ is a linear combination of Dirac delta functions (e.g., $d\mu(t) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n \delta(\frac{k}{n}T)dt$), which corresponds to discretely sampled Asian options; see [4, 5] for the details.

Since discrete sampling of an Asian option arises quite often in practice, it is of special interest to study regularity of the probabilistic solutions associated with discretely sampled Asian options and we will do it in this article. Our main result is, roughly speaking, that the probabilistic solution $u(t, x)$ of the problem (1.1), (1.2) associated with a positive measure $d\mu(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \delta(t_i)\, dt$ is an *almost classical solution*. Let us formulate the above statement in a more precise way. We assume that the measure $\mu(t)$ in (1.3) is given by

$$d\mu(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \delta(t_i)\, dt, \qquad \alpha_i > 0, \quad 0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_k \leq T$$

so that $b(t)$ in (1.4) becomes a monotone decreasing step function

$$b(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \beta_i \chi_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]}(t), \qquad \beta_1 > \ldots > \beta_k > \beta_{k+1} := 0, \qquad t_0 := -\infty, \quad t_{k+1} := T. \tag{1.7}$$

Then the equation (1.1) and the terminal condition (1.2) now read as follows:

$$u_t + \tfrac{1}{2}\,(x - b(t))^2\, \sigma^2 u_{xx} = 0. \tag{1.8}$$

$$u(T, x) = (x - K)_+. \tag{1.9}$$

As it will be explained in Remark 1.11 below, we will assume that $K \neq b(T)$ in our main theorem. Throughout the article, we shall denote

$$\mathbb{H}_T := [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \overline{\mathbb{H}}_T := [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{H}'_T := \mathbb{H}_T \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \{t_i\} \times \mathbb{R} \right).$$

We now state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.10. *Let $b(t)$ be a monotone decreasing function given by* (1.7)*. Suppose u is the probabilistic solution of the problem* (1.8), (1.9)*; i.e., $u(t, x)$ is defined by* (1.5)*. Then $u(t, x)$ is continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_T$ and satisfies the terminal condition* (1.9)*. Moreover, if $K \neq b(T)$, then $u(t, x)$ is twice differentiable with respect to x continuously in $\mathbb{H}_T$, differentiable with respect to t continuously in $\mathbb{H}'_T$, and satisfies the equation* (1.8) *in $\mathbb{H}'_T$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.10 uses ideas developed in [1] and will be provided in the next section. Here are some remarks regarding the conclusions of Theorem 1.10.

Remark 1.11. Suppose $K = b(T)$ and let $T' = \inf\{t \in [0, T] : b(t) = K\}$. It is a matter of computation to verify that probabilistic solution u of the problem (1.8), (1.9) in $[T', T] \times \mathbb{R}$ is given by $u(t, x) = (x - K)_+$. Of course, u is not twice continuously differentiable with respect to x there. However, unless $b(t) \equiv K$, we must have $T' > 0$ and then $u(t, x)$ is also the probabilistic solution of (1.8) in $\mathbb{H}_{T'}$ with the terminal condition $u(T', x) = (x - K)_+$.

Therefore, in this case, we can still apply Theorem 1.10 by replacing T by T'.

Remark 1.12. In Večeř's PDE method, the price of Asian option is determined by $u(0,\cdot)$. Theorem 1.10 suggests that to minimize numerical error in computing $u(0,\cdot)$ by finite difference methods, it is better to include all the discrete sampling points t_i's in time grids.

We close this section by introducing some notations which will be used in the proof. We define the parabolic distance between the points $z_1 = (t_1, x_1)$ and $z_2 = (t_2, x_2)$ as

$$|z_1 - z_2|_p := \max(\sqrt{|t_1 - t_2|}, |x_1 - x_2|).$$

Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ be a fixed constant. If u is a function in a set $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, we denote

$$[u]_{\alpha/2,\alpha;Q} = \sup_{\substack{z_1 \neq z_2\\ z_1,z_2 \in Q}} \frac{|u(z_1) - u(z_2)|}{|z_1 - z_2|_p^\alpha}, \quad |u|_{0;Q} = \sup_Q |u|,$$
$$|u|_{\alpha/2,\alpha;Q} = |u|_{0;Q} + [u]_{\alpha/2,\alpha;Q}.$$

By $C^{\alpha/2,\alpha}(Q)$ we denote the space of all functions for which $|u|_{\alpha/2,\alpha;Q} < \infty$. We also introduce the space $C^{1+\alpha/2,2+\alpha}(Q)$ as the set of all functions u defined in Q for which both

$$[u]_{1+\alpha/2,2+\alpha;Q} := [u_t]_{\alpha/2,\alpha;Q} + [u_{xx}]_{\alpha/2,\alpha;Q} < \infty \quad \text{and}$$
$$|u|_{1+\alpha/2,2+\alpha;Q} := |u|_{0;Q} + |u_x|_{0;Q} + |u_t|_{0,Q} + |u_{xx}|_{0,Q} + [u]_{1+\alpha/2,2+\alpha;Q} < \infty.$$

The function space $C^{1,2}(Q)$ denotes the set of all functions defined in Q for which

$$|u|_{0;Q} + |u_x|_{0;Q} + |u_t|_{0,Q} + |u_{xx}|_{0,Q} < \infty.$$

We say $u \in C^{1+\alpha/2,2+\alpha}_{loc}(Q)$ if $u \in C^{1+\alpha/2,2+\alpha}(Q')$ for all compact set $Q' \Subset Q$ and similarly, $u \in C^{1,2}_{loc}(Q)$ if $u \in C^{1,2}(Q')$ for all compact set $Q' \Subset Q$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.10

The proof uses essentially the same ideas as that of [1] but the technical details are much different. For $(t,x) \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}_T$, let $X_s = X_s(t,x)$ be the stochastic process which satisfies (1.6). It is well known that such a process X_s exists; see e.g., [2, Theorem V.1.1]. The probabilistic solution u of the problem (1.8), (1.9) is then given by the formula (1.5). It is then evident that u is continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_T$ and satisfies the terminal condition (1.9). If f in (1.5) were twice continuously differentiable, then, as it was mentioned in the introduction, the theorem would follow from [2, Theorem V.7.4]. But this is clearly not the case since $f(y) = (y - K)_+$ is merely a Lipschitz continuous function. However, it should be pointed out that u also has the representation

$$u(t,x) = \mathbb{E}u(X_{T'}(t,x)), \quad \forall T' \in [t,T].$$

Therefore, if $u(T',\cdot)$ is twice continuously differentiable for some $T' \in (0,T)$, then we would have the second part of the theorem with T replaced by T'; i.e., $u(t,x)$ is twice differentiable with respect to x continuously in $\mathbb{H}_{T'}$, differentiable with respect to t continuously in $\mathbb{H}'_{T'}$, and satisfies the equation (1.8) in $\mathbb{H}'_{T'}$.

Let $\beta := b(T)$ and $T' := \inf\{t \in [0,T] : b(t) = \beta\}$. By the above observation, we have the theorem if we show that $u \in C^{1,2}_{loc}([T',T)\times\mathbb{R})$ and satisfies the equation (1.8) there. Therefore, it will be enough for us to consider a simple case when $b(t) \equiv \beta$ and prove that the probabilistic solution u of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\,(x-\beta)^2\,u_{xx} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{H}_T, \\ u(T,x) = (x-K)_+ \end{cases}$$

is a classical solution provided $\beta \neq K$. By means of a change of variable $x \mapsto x+\beta$, we are further reduced to consider the case $\beta = 0$, $K \neq 0$ and the problem

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x^2 u_{xx} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{H}_T, \tag{2.1}$$

$$u(T,x) = (x-K)_+. \tag{2.2}$$

We claim that the probabilistic solution u of the above problem belongs to $C^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbb{H}_T)$ and satisfies the equation (2.1) pointwisely. By the reasoning described above, the proof of the theorem will be complete if we prove this claim.

It only remains for us to prove the claim. We can derive an explicit formula for the probabilistic solution $u(t,x)$ of the problem (2.1), (2.2) as follows. Let $Y_s = Y_s(x)$ be the process satisfying the stochastic equation

$$dY_s = \sigma Y_s\,dw_s, \quad Y_0 = x. \tag{2.3}$$

It is easy to verify that Y_s is given by $Y_s = xe^{\sigma w_s - s/2}$. Note that $u(t,x)$ is then given by

$$u(t,x) = \mathbb{E}(Y_{T-t}(x)-K)_+ = \mathbb{E}\left(xe^{\sigma w_{T-t}-(T-t)/2} - K\right)_+. \tag{2.4}$$

Since Y_s is a martingale and $(y-K)_+ = (y-K)+(K-y)_+$, we also have

$$u(t,x) = x - K + \mathbb{E}\left(K - xe^{\sigma w_{T-t}-(T-t)/2}\right)_+. \tag{2.5}$$

The above computations lead us to define

$$v(t,x) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\left(xe^{\sigma w_{T-t}-(T-t)/2} - K\right)_+ & \text{if} \quad K > 0, \\ \mathbb{E}\left(K - xe^{\sigma w_{T-t}-(T-t)/2}\right)_+ & \text{if} \quad K < 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

By (2.4) and (2.5), we find that $u \in C^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbb{H}_T)$ and satisfies (2.1) if and only if $v \in C^{1,2}_{loc}(\mathbb{H}_T)$ and satisfies (2.1). Denote

$$\mathbb{H}^+_T := [0,T) \times (0,\infty), \quad \mathbb{H}^-_T := [0,T) \times (-\infty,0).$$

It is easy to check that $v \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{H}_T \setminus \mathbb{H}^+_T$ if $K > 0$ and $v \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{H}_T \setminus \mathbb{H}^-_T$ if $K < 0$. Also, by using an approximation argument similar to the one used in [1], we find that v belongs to $C^{1+\alpha/2,2+\alpha}_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^+_T \cup \mathbb{H}^-_T)$ and satisfies the equation (2.1) in $\mathbb{H}^+_T \cup \mathbb{H}^-_T$ regardless the sign of K. Therefore, the proof of the theorem will be complete if we show

$$\lim_{x\to 0} (|v_x| + |v_{xx}| + |v_t|)(t,x) = 0 \quad \text{locally uniformly in } t \in [0,T). \tag{2.7}$$

The following lemma, which plays the role of Lemma 2.1 in [1], is the key for proving the above statement (2.7).

Lemma 2.8. *Let v be defined by* (2.6) *and denote*

$$Q := \begin{cases} [0,T) \times (0,K) & \text{if} \quad K > 0, \\ [0,T) \times (K,0) & \text{if} \quad K < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following estimate for $v(t,x)$ in Q:

$$0 \le v(t,x) \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sigma K \sqrt{T}}{\ln |K/x|} \exp\left\{ -\frac{(\ln |K/x|)^2}{\sigma^2 T} \right\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. We shall assume that $K > 0$ and carry out the proof. The proof for the case when $K < 0$ is parallel and shall be omitted. First of all, it is clear from (2.6) that $v \ge 0$. For any $(t,x) \in Q$, we define the process $Z_s = Z_s(t,x) = (t+s, Y_s(x))$, where $Y_s = Y_s(x) = xe^{\sigma w_s - s/2}$ satisfies the stochastic equation (2.3) above. Let $\tau = \tau(t,x)$ be the first exit time of $Z_s(t,x)$ from Q. We define $\tilde{v}(t,x)$ by

$$\tilde{v}(t,x) = \mathbb{E} g(Z_\tau(t,x)) = \mathbb{E} g(t+\tau, Y_\tau(x)),$$

where the values of $g = g(s,y)$ on the "parabolic boundary" $\partial_p Q$ of Q are given by

$$\begin{cases} g(T,y) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < y < K, \\ g(s,0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(s,K) = K \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le s \le T. \end{cases}$$

We claim that $v \le \tilde{v}$ in Q. To see this, first note that

$$v(t,x) = \mathbb{E} v(Z_\tau(t,x)) = \mathbb{E} v(t+\tau, Y_\tau(x)).$$

Thus, it is enough to show that $v \le g$ on $\partial_p Q$. It is obvious that $v(T,y) = 0$ for any $y \in (0,K)$ and $v(t,0) = 0$ for any $t \in [0,T]$. Also, since $(y-K)_+ \le y$ for any $y \ge 0$ and $e^{\sigma w_s - s/2}$ is a martingale, we have

$$v(t,K) \le \mathbb{E}\left(Ke^{\sigma w_{T-t} - (T-t)/2}\right) = K, \quad \forall t \in [0,T].$$

It thus follows that $v \le \tilde{v}$ in Q. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v(t,x) &\le \tilde{v}(t,x) = K\mathbb{P}\{xe^{\sigma w_\tau - \tau/2} = K\} \\
&\le K\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{0\le s<T-t} (\sigma w_s - s/2) \ge \ln(K/x) \right\} \\
&\le K\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{0\le s<T} (\sigma w_s - s/2) \ge \ln(K/x) \right\} \\
&\le K\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{0\le s<T} w_s \ge \sigma^{-1}\ln(K/x) \right\} = 2K\mathbb{P}\left\{ w_T \ge \sigma^{-1}\ln(K/x) \right\} \\
&\le K\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\frac{\sigma\sqrt{T}}{\ln(K/x)}\exp\left\{ -\frac{(\ln(K/x))^2}{\sigma^2 T} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the last step, we have used an inequality

$$\int_\alpha^\infty e^{-x^2/2}\,dx \le \frac{1}{\alpha}\int_\alpha^\infty xe^{-x^2/2}\,dx = \alpha^{-1}e^{-\alpha^2/2}, \quad \forall \alpha > 0.$$

The lemma is proved. □

Now, we are ready to prove the statement (2.7) and thus, the theorem. Again, as in the proof of Lemma 2.8, we shall assume that $K > 0$ since the case when $K < 0$ can be treated in a similar way.

We extend v to zero on $(T,\infty)\times(0,K)$. Then, it is easy to see that v belongs to $C_{loc}^{1+\alpha/2,2+\alpha}([0,\infty)\times(0,K))$ and satisfies both the equation (2.1) and the estimate (2.9) in $[0,\infty)\times(0,K)$. Denote

$$C_r(t_0,x_0) := (t_0,t_0+1)\times(x_0-r,x_0+r), \quad \Pi_\rho := (0,\rho^2)\times(-\rho,\rho).$$

Let $(t_0,x_0)\in[0,T)\times(0,2K/3)$ and set $r = r(x_0) := x_0/2$. Define

$$V(t,x) = v(t_0+t, x_0+rx)$$

It is easy to verify that $V(t,x)$ satisfies the equation

$$V_t + \frac{1}{2}a(x)V_{xx} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Pi_1,$$

where $a(x) := \sigma^2 r^{-2}(x_0+rx)^2$ satisfies

$$\sigma^2 \le a(x) \le 9\sigma^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |a'(x)| \le 6\sigma^2, \quad \forall x\in(-1,1).$$

Therefore, by the interior Schauder estimates (see e.g., [3]), there is some $C = C(\sigma)$ such that

$$|V_x(0,0)| + |V_{xx}(0,0)| + |V_t(0,0)| \le C\sup_{\Pi_1}|V|,$$

while by the estimate (2.9) we have

$$\sup_{\Pi_1}|V| = \sup_{C_r(t_0,x_0)}|v| \le \frac{\sigma K\sqrt{2T}}{\sqrt{\pi}\ln|K/3r|}\exp\left\{ -\frac{(\ln|K/3r|)^2}{\sigma^2 T} \right\}.$$

Hence, there is some $r_0 = r_0(K)$ and $N = N(\sigma, T, K)$ such that

$$|V_x(0,0)| + |V_{xx}(0,0)| + |V_t(0,0)| \leq N e^{-(\ln r)^2/N}, \tag{2.10}$$

provided that $r < r_0$. Note that (2.10) translates to as follows: There is some $r_0 = r_0(K)$ and $N = N(\sigma, T, K)$ such that if $x_0 < r_0$ then

$$x_0|v_x(t_0, x_0)| + x_0^2|v_{xx}(t_0, x_0)| + |v_t(t_0, x_0)| \leq N e^{-(\ln x_0)^2/N}.$$

The above estimate obviously implies (2.7). The theorem is proved.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to Jan Večeř for very helpful discussion. Hongjie Dong is partially supported by a start-up funding from the Division of Applied Mathematics of Brown University and NSF grant number DMS-0800129. Seick Kim is supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (KRF-2008-314-C00024), the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation(KOSEF) grant funded by the Korea government(MEST) (No. R01-2008-000-20010-0), WCU(World Class University) program through the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (R31-2008-000-10049-0), and Yonsei University Research Fund of 2008-7-0246.

REFERENCES

[1] Kim, S. *On a degenerate parabolic equation arising in pricing of Asian options*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **351** (2009), no. 1, 326–333. (arXiv:0805.1101)

[2] Krylov, N. V. *Introduction to the theory of diffusion processes*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.

[3] Krylov, N. V. *Lectures on elliptic and parabolic equations in Hölder spaces*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[4] Večeř, J. *A new PDE approach for pricing arithmetic average Asian options*. Journal of Computational Finance **4** (2001), no. 4, 105–113

[5] Večeř, J. *Unified Asian Pricing*. Risk **15** (2002), no. 6, 113–116

(H. Dong) DIVISION OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, 182 GEORGE STREET, BOX F, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912, USA
E-mail address: `Hongjie_Dong@brown.edu`

(S. Kim) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YONSEI UNIVERSITY, 262 SEONGSANNO, SEODAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 120-749, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: `kimseick@yonsei.ac.kr`